

Known Bugs in ETEC Version 3.00

Bug Identifier	Source	Problem/Bug Description	Severity	Workaround Description	Affected Releases	Fixed Release
V1.00D-5 (2009-Dec-15)	internal	When the sizeof operator is applied to a constant the wrong size may result, e.g. sizeof(1) may result in "1" rather than the expected "3" bytes.	2	Take the sizeof the desired type instead: sizeof(int)	All versions	TBD
V1.20A-14 (2009-May-20)	internal	Chan interrupt opcodes may be moved relative to adjacent RAM instructions by the optimizer. This may cause unexpected results, particularly in the case of a DMA interrupt.	3	Use _OptimizationBoundaryAll() or #pragma optimization_boundary_all if there is concern that an interrupt may cross a critical RAM access.	All versions	TBD
V1.25A-11 (2009-Sep-28)	internal	If pointer arithmetic generates a negative result, and the object pointed to is larger than 1 byte in size, ETEC code will generate an incorrect result. This is because an unsigned shift (or unsigned divide) is applied after the pointer arithmetic to convert from byte addressing to object indexing.	3	Keep pointer arithmetic results in the non-negative domain.	All versions	TBD
V1.25B-6 (2009-Dec-9)	internal	The _STACK_SIZE_ defines macro gets the calculated value of the worst-case stack depth. In certain rare cases, this value can be slightly larger than the actual worst-case. This can occur when a stack usage of a register save and restore (e.g. in a called C function) is eliminated via optimization. Such a register save requires 4 bytes of stack space, but the removal of it is not currently getting accounted for in the stack size calculation.	4	Care should be taken in that in some rare cases, a _STACK_SIZE_ value that is non-zero can still mean that no stack is actually utilized. Another way to verify that no stack is used is to make sure that no <func/class name>__ STACKBASE_ macros are defined.	All versions	TBD

V1.25B-7 (2009-Dec-11)	internal & customer	The optimizer/analyzer does not yet support reentrant functions, whether they be callable C functions or ETEC code fragments. Reentrance is supposed to be detected and cause an error, but in some cases this detection failed, allowing for optimization to continue. Sometimes the result could be a linker crash, or sometimes invalid code generation, or in some cases working code resulted.	3	Avoid writing reentrant functions until the ETEC optimizer/analyzer fully supports them.	All versions	V1.25C (reentrance detection), TBD (support reentrance)
V2.23B-5 (2014-Mar-18)	internal	In some cases when making a fragment call, and the fragment is contiguous with the calling code (i.e. jump can be eliminated), the link-time optimizer mistakenly optimizes out code it should not.	2	This situation, if encountered, can be corrected by re-arranging the code to prevent the fragment call and fragment code from being contiguous.	V2.00A and newer	TBD
V2.23B-7 (2014-Jun-6)	customer	The C preprocessor is currently allowing the same macro to be expanded in multiple replacement passes, which causes the preprocessor to break when such "recursion" is encountered.	3	Avoid self-referencing preprocessor macros.	All versions	TBD
V3.00A-1 (2022-Apr-4)	customer	It has been found that in rare cases the code generation for a switch statement can be incorrect. This can occur when every case starts with common code such that the optimizer can try to pull back an opcode into the no-flush position of the dispatch opcode. While this in theory reduces code size and thread length, it also creates a bug in that the jumps to cases are off by one and so the wrong case is always taken.	2	Add an optimization boundary after the switch statement and before any of the cases: <pre>switch (x) { #pragma optimization_boundary_all case 0: // ... }</pre>	V2.00A and newer	V3.01A

Bug Severity Level Descriptions:

1 – Problem causes complete work stoppage. No work-around is possible. The problem is likely to be hit by most users. This level of bug will typically trigger a new release or patch in a short time frame.

- 2 – A difficult problem to track down, such as incorrectly generated code. Typically, there is a work-around available for this kind of bug.
- 3 – A bug that is easy to spot, and/or generally has a straight-forward work-around, or has minimal impact.
- 4 – Not truly a bug (i.e. tool is within spec.), but rather something that might affect compatibility or usability. Work-arounds available.